# Clinical-haemostasis assessment of anaesthesia regimens in dogs with the somatic type of pain response

Sergii RUBLENKO<sup>1,a</sup>, Mykhailo RUBLENKO<sup>2,b</sup>, Andriy YAREMCHUK<sup>2,c</sup>, Tetiana BAKHUR-KAVALIAUSKENE<sup>1,d,⊠</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Bila Tserkva National Agrarian University, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Department of Parasitology and Pharmacology, Bila Tserkva, Ukraine; <sup>2</sup>Bila Tserkva National Agrarian University, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Department of Surgery and Diseases of Small Domestic Animals, Bila Tserkva, Ukraine

<sup>a</sup>ORCID: 0000-0003-0678-5497; <sup>b</sup>ORCID: 0000-0001-9690-9531; <sup>c</sup>ORCID: 0000-0001-6715-5099; <sup>d</sup>ORCID: 0000-0001-8271-8267

#### **ARTICLE INFO**

Article History Received : 03.09.2021 Accepted : 06.12.2022 DOI: 10.33988/auvfd.979508

Keywords Bone fractures Canine Osteosynthesis Somatic innervation

# Surgery

<sup>IM</sup>Corresponding author fly\_13@ukr.net

How to cite this article: Rublenko S, Rublenko M, Yaremchuk A, Bakhur-Kavaliauskene T (2024): Clinical-haemostasis assessment of anaesthesia regimens in dogs with the somatic type of pain response. Ankara Univ Vet Fak Derg, 71 (1), 73-79. DOI: 10.33988/auvfd.979508.

# **A** B S T R A C T

The article investigates into the influence of somatic pain syndrome during osteosynthesis on dogs' clinical parameters and haemostasis. It was found, that the best variant for osteosynthesis operations in dogs is acepromazine-butorphanol-propofol-ketamine anaesthesia. This regimen has provided complete analgesia in half the time recovery of dogs without significant changes in heart rate (HR), respiratory rate (RR), blood pressure (BP), and haemoglobin saturation (SpO<sub>2</sub>) during surgery. Acepromazine-ketamine-thiopental anaesthesia has showed pronounced analgesia with a decrease in HR and BP. Xylazine-ketamine-thiopental anaesthesia, under apparent analgesia, led to hypotension (decreased HR, BP) and hypoxia (decreased RR, SpO<sub>2</sub>). The hypercoagulable syndrome was recorded in dogs of all experimental groups before surgery. It indicates the urgent need for its correction in the postoperative period. The data obtained will optimize the selection of drugs' combinations for dogs' anaesthesia, taking into account the type of pain response.

# Introduction

Among dogs, of the total number of surgical pathologies, injuries account for about 50% (1, 29). The most common consequences of trauma are bone fractures (6, 34), accompanied by a predominantly somatic pain response (22, 24).

One of the fundamental differences in the regulation of somatic and visceral pain is their anatomical and functional organization (9, 21). Somatic pain is characterized by a clear somatotopy, the proportionality of the nociceptive stimulus' intensity feeling, and the adequate behaviour's formation and protective-adaptive reactions (14).

There is a misconception about the "universal injection" among some animal owners and veterinarians.

In their opinion, it will be effective, efficient, safe, and provide the appropriate anaesthetic effect for surgery. At the same time, the problem of anesthesiological support remains unresolved (25, 41). Modern scientific research and practical experience convincingly prove the absence of such universal drugs and methods (31).

Local anaesthesia is the simplest, most accessible and safest. However, it cannot claim the role of a universal method (19, 35). Ensuring the correct level of anaesthesia should include three main components: sleep (depression of consciousness), removal of muscle tone (muscle relaxation), and general anaesthesia (analgesia) (27, 38).

It is impossible to estimate the intensity of the pain response in animals based only on clinical indicators – manifestations of consciousness and muscle tone (12, 36, 39, 40). That is since some anaesthesia regimens suppress the dynamic function of the muscles well and cause sleep while not providing enough analgesic effect (26).

Pain is an evolutionarily formed defence reaction that occurs due to the action of pain (nociceptive) factors and the weakening of analgesic (antinociceptive) mechanisms (28, 42). Both somatic and visceral pain systems are components of the overall system of pain excitation, which determines the formation of various behavioural and autonomic manifestations of pain. It's considerable for choosing an adequate anaesthesia regimen depending on the anatomical and topographic data (15). Morphological and functional connections of nociceptive systems make it possible to link two fundamentally different types of pain perception: primary rapid and localized associated with direct effects on nerve endings and secondary (inflammatory) diffuse with negative vegetative-motor manifestations, often combined with the trauma of internal organs. Its' degree of manifestation, as well as the strength of the pain response, are directly related to the plasma systems' activity and their interaction (13, 16, 17).

Surgeons often use reduced doses of the basic anaesthetic agent in combination with various neurotropic drugs to reduce the toxicity of anaesthesia drugs. This way, doctors are also trying to prevent adverse effects and maintain a sufficiently high level of analgesia. But almost no attention is paid to the rational selection of drugs' optimal combinations taking into account the visceral and somatic components of pain (5, 10).

The recent studies' analysis indicates that currently in the issues of dogs' quality anaesthesia, there are unresolved gaps in terms of the species' sensitivity to pain and reactivity of the haemostasis system in choosing an adequate anaesthesia scheme. It became the basis for our work. The study aimed to determine the clinical and experimental justification of anaesthesia regimens in dogs with the somatic type of pain response.

# **Materials and Methods**

The work was performed at the Department of Surgery and Diseases of Small Domestic Animals of Bila Tserkva National Agrarian University (Kyiv region, Ukraine) during 2019-2021. The research protocol of the present study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Bila Tserkva National Agrarian University (Approval number: 23.10.2018 / №2, conclusion 5). The material for the study was clinically healthy and sick dogs admitted to the Clinic of Small Animal Diseases of the University.

*Animals and study design:* Studies of the somatic type of pain response were performed in dogs with bone fractures during their surgical treatment (osteosynthesis). Animals

were selected by the method of analogues. When forming groups conducted a general clinical study of basic vital signs, and studies of morphological and biochemical parameters of the blood. If necessary, instrumental examinations were performed (radiography and ultrasound examination). Dogs with fractures of the femur or humerus aged 1 to 10 years (n = 45), depending on the scheme of anaesthesia, were divided into three groups (n = 15). In group 1, 15 min before the injection of the main anaesthetic, intramuscularly 1% solution of acepromazine (0.5 mg/kg) was injected in combination with 5% ketamine (8 mg/kg) for premedication and anaesthesia. A 5% sodium thiopental solution (5 mg/kg) was used immediately before osteosynthesis intravenously slowly, and 2.5 mg/kg was used to prolong anaesthesia. In group 2, 2% xylazine (2 mg/kg) combined with 5% ketamine (8 mg/kg) was administered 15 min before the main anaesthetic injection intramuscularly for premedication and anaesthesia. Immediately before surgery, a 5% sodium thiopental (5 mg/kg) was used intravenously slowly, and 2.5 mg/kg was used to prolong anaesthesia. After premedication with acepromazine (0.5 mg/kg) immediately before surgery, animals of group 3 were intravenously administered 0.3 ml/kg of anaesthetic mixture. One ml of this mixture contained 7.5 mg of propofol and 12.5 mg of ketamine. For deepening or prolongation of anaesthesia, a mixture (1 ml of 5% ketamine solution + 3 ml of 1% propofol solution) was injected at a dose of 0.15 ml/kg.

*Clinical study:* The clinical study of anaesthetized animals was performed according to the following scheme. The stages of anaesthesia were determined: the beginning of anaesthesia; the stage of surgical tolerance; recovery after anaesthesia. The main criteria for their evaluation were dilation or narrowing of the pupils, age, palpebral, anal reflexes, and chewing muscle tone. Tissue perfusion was assessed by pulse oximetry or by pressing a finger on the gums, recording the time of filling the capillaries with blood (19). Clinical indicators were registered at the following stages: before, during, after anaesthesia, and in the most traumatic surgery moments. Heart rate and were determined by palpation of the heart and auscultation with a stethoscope. The frequency and depth of respiration were controlled by observing the movements of the chest and abdominal wall.

Indicators of hemodynamics and tissue perfusion were determined using a resuscitation and surgical monitor UM-300R (Yutas, Ukraine, Kyiv). Indicators such as heart rate (HR), respiratory rate (RR), blood pressure (BP), electrocardiogram (ECG), and arterial blood haemoglobin saturation with oxygen (SpO<sub>2</sub>) were monitored. *Study of the haemostasis system:* Blood samples from animals were taken before anaesthesia and during 1 hour after surgery. The functional state of the haemostasis system was determined using the coagulometer HumaClot DUO Plus (Human GmbH, Germany) on the following indicators: fibrinogen concentration, fibrin stabilizing factor activity (FXIII), soluble fibrin concentration, prothrombin time.

*Statistical analysis:* Statistical processing of the results was carried out using Statistica 13.3 IT Application. Multiple variance comparisons were performed using the Fisher distribution (ANOVA). Variance analysis (ANOVA) was used for determining a statistically significant effect on the factors researched. The reliability of the data was evaluated using the Fisher F-test with a P<0.05 confidence level.

#### **Results**

The proposed general anaesthesia regimens were accompanied by central nervous system depression, loss of consciousness, skeletal muscle relaxation, and analgesia. In dogs with bone fractures, the onset of anaesthesia in the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> groups did not exceed 1 min, while in the 3<sup>rd</sup>, it was 1.38 min (Table 1). During a study of hemodynamic parameters (Table 2) in animals it was found that HR is at the upper limit of the physiological norm, decreasing during anaesthesia. The RR in the groups ranged from 20.9 to 22.0 breaths/min, which was at the upper limit of the physiological norm. During anaesthesia, it decreased in dogs of the 1st and 2nd groups by 17.2% and 35.9% (P<0.05), respectively. Instead, in dogs of the 3<sup>rd</sup> group that indicator did not change significantly. Monitoring of the arterial blood haemoglobin saturation level with oxygen showed the hypoxic state development in dogs of the 2<sup>nd</sup> group during anaesthesia.

Table 1. Clinical characteristics of intravenous anaesthesia different schemes in dogs during osteosynthesis surgeries, n=15.

	Animal groups, anaesthesia schemes					
Indicators	1 <sup>st</sup> , acepromazine-ketamine- sodium thiopental	2 <sup>nd</sup> , xylazine- ketamine-sodium thiopental	3 <sup>rd</sup> , acepromazine-butorphanol- propofol-ketamine			
Beginning of anaesthesia, min	$0.50{\pm}0.04$	$0.46{\pm}0.07$	1.38±0.06*			
Duration of anaesthesia, min	$29.0 \pm 0.66$	32.3±0.68*	$30.2{\pm}0.59$			
Analgesic effect	++	++	+++			
Respiration effect	$\uparrow\downarrow$	$\downarrow\downarrow$	↑			
Cardiovascular effect	$\uparrow\downarrow$	$\downarrow\downarrow$	↑			
Recovery after anaesthesia, min	60.7±4.2	68.1±4.1	30.1±3.5*			

Note: 1. Effect: ++ - expressive; +++ - absolute; 2. Impact:  $\uparrow -$  increased,  $\uparrow \downarrow -$  short-term increase followed by decrease,  $\downarrow \downarrow -$  significant decrease; 3. The value of the indicator P: \* - P < 0.05, compared with the 1<sup>st</sup> group.

<b>Table 2.</b> Haemodynamic and respiratory parameters in dogs for osteosynthesis and various anaesthesia regimens, n=1.	Table 2. Haemody	namic and	respiratory	parameters in c	logs fo	or osteosynthesis	and various	s anaesthesia regimen	s, n=15
---	------------------	-----------	-------------	-----------------	---------	-------------------	-------------	-----------------------	---------

Animal groups, anaesthesia schemes	Period	HR, beat/min	BP systolic, mm Hg	BP diastolic, mm Hg	BP mean, mm Hg	RR, breath/min	SpO2, %
1 <sup>st</sup> , acepro-mazine- ketamine-sodium thiopental	Ι	113.2±4.1	134.1±4.0	80.2±2.9	98.2±3.4	21.5±1.0	96.8±0.8
	II	$98.4{\pm}4.2{*}^{0}$	$117.6 \pm 3.8^{*0}$	$69.0{\pm}3.0{}^{*0}$	$85.2 \pm 3.2 *^{0}$	$17.8 \pm 0.9 *^{0}$	95.3±0.7
	III	$101.7 \pm 4.3$	115.3±3.7*	68.9±3.1*	84.4±3.3*	$18.1{\pm}1.0*$	95.8±0.7
	IV	$111.8{\pm}4.0^{0}$	120.1±3.8*	77.4±3.1	91.6±3.2	$19.4 \pm 1.1$	97.5±0.6
2 <sup>nd</sup> , xylazine-ketamine- sodium thiopental	Ι	$109.7 \pm 3.9$	128.4±4.2	80.4±3.3	96.4±3.7	20.9±1.1	98.1±0.7
	II	$71.5 \pm 3.8 *^{0}$	$105.7 \pm 3.0 *^{0}$	$60.3 \pm 2.2^{*0}$	$75.4 \pm 2.9 *^{0}$	$13.4 \pm 0.7 *^{0}$	$88.4{\pm}0.6{*}^{0}$
	III	74.8±3.7*	102.5±2.9*	60.1±2.2*	74.2±2.9*	13.9±0.7*	89.3±0.7*
	IV	$89.3{\pm}4.0{}^{*0}$	107.1±3.2*	$67.2 \pm 2.5 *^{0}$	80.5±3.1*	$16.2 \pm 0.8 *^{0}$	$94.9 \pm 0.8 *^{0}$
3 <sup>rd</sup> , acepro-mazine- butorphanol-propofol- ketamine	Ι	115.1±4.2	129.4±4.2	78.9±3.1	95.7±3.4	22.0±1.0	97.2±0.7
	II	122.4±4.6	$130.7 \pm 4.1$	$75.8 \pm 3.0$	94.1±3.3	$20.4 \pm 0.9$	97.0±0.7
	III	$120.1 \pm 4.3$	$128.1{\pm}4.0$	75.1±3.0	92.8±3.3	$20.8 \pm 0.9$	$96.8 \pm 0.8$
	IV	112.2±4.1	127.3±3.9	74.4±3.1	92.0±3.2	21.2±1.0	98.1±0.8

Note: 1. Periods: I – pre-anaesthesia, II – during anaesthesia, III – the most traumatic moments of the surgery, IV – after the surgery; 2. The value of the indicator p: \* – P<0.05, compared with pre-anaesthesia; <sup>0</sup> – P<0.05, compared with the previous indicator for the group.

Indicators	Fibrinogen, g / L	Soluble fibrin, mg%	FXIII, %	Prothrombin time, sec
Clinically healthy (n=15)	2.53±0.13	0	99.2±2.4	16.1±0.3
Pre-anaesthesia (n=45)	$2.03{\pm}0.10^{0}$	$45.2\pm2.1^{0}$	$69.4 \pm 3.1^{0}$	$21.7 \pm 0.4^{\circ}$
After the surgery, n=15				
1st, acepromazine-ketamine-sodium thiopental	3.12±0.17*	$47.7 \pm 3.2^{\circ}$	$62.3 \pm 4.3^{\circ}$	$22.1 \pm 0.3^{\circ}$
2 <sup>nd</sup> , xylazine-ketamine-sodium thiopental	$2.80{\pm}0.19^{*0}$	$50.1 \pm 3.4^{\circ}$	$60.1 \pm 4.1^{0}$	$22.4{\pm}0.4^{0}$
3 <sup>rd</sup> , acepromazine-butorphanol-propofol-ketamine	3.05±0.21*	$49.8 \pm 3.6^{\circ}$	$64.5 \pm 4.0^{\circ}$	$22.3 \pm 0.3^{\circ}$

Table 3. The state of the blood clotting system in dogs during osteosynthesis surgeries.

Note: \* - P < 0.05, compared with pre-anaesthesia;  $^0 - P < 0.05$ , compared with clinically healthy dogs.

Haemostasis system's activation with the formation of the hypercoagulable syndrome was detected in dogs with long tubular bones' fractures (Table 3). It was expressed in a decrease of the fibrinogen concentration by 19.8% (P<0.05) as well as in the appearance of its' metabolite (soluble fibrin) in blood plasma at a quite high concentration. In addition, the prothrombin time was prolonged by 34.8% (P<0.05), and the activity of FXIII decreased by 30.0% (P<0.05). Thus, according to haemostasiological signs, the consumption coagulopathy condition was registered in dogs with bone fractures.

# **Discussion and Conclusion**

The somatic type of pain reaction is stipulated by injury to bones, muscles, skin, joints, tendons, and ligaments. This type of pain reaction is characterized by acute, more intense than visceral, nociceptive impulse (9, 14). Clinical-experimental substantiation of dogs anaesthesia with the somatic type pain response surgical interventions was performed on the animals with fractures of the femur or humerus. Osteosynthesis method the animals underwent depended on the anatomical and topographic location and the nature of the fracture. Particular attention in the perfomance of somatic pain anaesthesia was paid to the nociceptive protection of animals.. After all, it is for this type of pain response that adequate analgesia is important because the intensity of pain stimulation increases significantly.

In present studies, traditional and new anaesthesia regimens have been used for somatic pain in dogs with bone fractures (8). To improve the anaesthetic properties of the acepromazine-butorphanol-ketamine regimen and reduce the dose of the latter, we have proposed its combination with propofol. Propofol has rapid and smooth induction, pronounced hypnotic and amnestic effects, rapid recovery after anaesthesia, and rare side effects. At the same time propofol anaesthesia may be accompanied by hypotension, bradycardia and direct myocardial depression, impaired baroreflex mechanisms, nervous system depression, suppression of the nervous system (38). In contrast to propofol ketamine has a moderate hypertensive and a pronounced analgesic effect. It stimulates the sympathetic nervous system and its general analgesic effect is 3-4 times longer than propofol (2, 4). Thus, by combining these two anaesthetics into one anaesthesia regimen, we eliminated the negative effects and complemented the positive ones (32).

Effective control of anaesthesia is important, which is currently achieved only by using inhalation anaesthetics due to their ultrashort action. But, according to the results of current studies, the combined use of short-acting (ketamine) and ultra-short-acting (propofol) anaesthetics also has made it possible to achieve adequate anaesthesia control. With the introduction of the propofol, the action of which lasts an average of 4-6 min, conditions are created to achieve good control of anaesthesia without inhalation anaesthetics.

An equally important effect achieved was the rapid recovery of dogs after anaesthesia. Other authors also testify to good anaesthesia control at the use of propofol in other drug combinations (30, 38, 43). In particular, the researchers point out that propofol ranks second after inhaled desflurane, ahead of isoflurane and sevoflurane in the rate of patients' recovery after anaesthesia.

According to the results of current studies, various combinations of neuroleptics (acepromazine, xylazine) with general anaesthetics (ketamine) provided a rapid introduction of animals into anaesthesia (0.46-1.38 minutes) with a duration of 29-32 minutes. At the same time, the successful anaesthesia of surgical care is a multifactorial process, so the duration of anaesthesia cannot be decisive.

It is known (7, 18), that anaesthetics can affect the cardiovascular system in different ways. Some of them, such as ketamine, stimulate the increase of BP in dogs, others (xylazine, acepromazine, propofol) on the contrary, cause hypotension. For the surgery process, it is important how these drugs affect the cardiovascular system when they are used in anaesthesia regimens that combine antihypertensive and hypertensive preparations. According to the results of the current research, the peculiarities of their interaction were established. Using acepromazine with ketamine, the latter neutralizes the

hypotensive effect of acepromazine. In the case of a combination of xylazine with ketamine, the hypotensive properties of the former outweigh the hypertensive ability of the latter. Therefore, dogs with impaired or cardiovascular insufficiency should be closely monitored: the use of xylazine-ketamine in dogs during surgery led to the deprinsion of the respiratory system and could cause it to stop.

Nociceptive stimulation with insufficient analgesia during the most traumatic moments of the operation briefly accelerates RR, while with its' sufficient adequacy it remains stable.

A significant decrease in HR and BP in dogs after anaesthesia showed that xylazine-ketamine-thiopental anaesthesia creates a risk of haemodynamic disorders. That is especially important in animals with cardiovascular failure and significant blood loss. In contrast, acepromazine-butorphanol-propofol-ketamine anaesthesia has no significant adverse effect on dogs' haemodynamics during osteosynthesis.

Under acepromazine-ketamine-thiopental anaesthesia, ketamine counteracts the hypotensive effect of acepromazine and sodium thiopental and is therefore accompanied by a moderate effect on haemodynamics.

We found that xylazine-ketamine-thiopental anaesthesia developed a hypoxic state during osteosynthesis that can lead to respiratory arrest. Instead, acepromazine-butorphanol-propofol-ketamine or acepromazine- ketamine-thiopental anaesthesia had no significant effect on the respiratory system.

Only a few studies are devoted to the research of the anaesthetics effect on the haemostasis system (3, 23). In these studies, acepromazine or xylazine-thiopental anaesthesia without surgeryhad no haemocoagulation changes. Abdominal pathology in dogs causes the development of a hypercoagulation state (11, 20, 33, 37). Comprehensive data on the state of haemostasis in dogs during osteosynthesis have not been published. According to the present study results of the haemostasis system, repeated bone injury, caused by osteosynthesis, with analgesia adequate provokes moderate hyperfibrinogenemia and thrombinemia with a deficiency of plasma coagulation factors, deepens the hypercoagulable state without significant effect on its components.

Summing up, the study results showed that the neurohumoral mechanisms of pain regulation and modern schemes of anaesthesia are justified. The combination of acepromazine-butorphanol-propofol-ketamine provides complete analgesia with twice faster recovery of dogs from anaesthesia without significant changes in HR, RR, BP, and SpO<sub>2</sub> during surgery. Acepromazine-ketamine-thiopental anaesthesia is accompanied by pronounced analgesia with a decrease in HR and BP. At the same time, xylazine-ketamine-thiopental anaesthesia in severe

analgesia leads to hypotensive and hypoxic conditions. Further research could usefully explore the effect of different anaesthesia regimens on the clinical-haemostasis condition of dogs in abdominal surgery, with a visceral type of pain response. Taken together, the optimal option for osteosynthesis in dogs is a combination of acepromazine-butorphanol-propofol-ketamine. However, like others, this anaesthesia regimenrequires correction of the hypercoagulative syndrome in the postoperative period.

# Acknowledgments

The author's team expresses its gratitude to the Clinic's of Small Animal Diseases staff of Bila Tserkva National Agrarian University for their support and assistance in conducting experimental research.

#### **Financial Support**

This research received no grant from any funding agency/sector.

# **Conflict of Interest**

The authors declared that there is no conflict of interest.

# **Author Contributions**

SR, MR, AY and TB-K conceived and planned the experiments. SR and MR carried out the experiments. SR, MR, and AY contributed to sample preparation. SR, AY and TB-K contributed to the interpretation of the results. TB-K took the lead in writing the manuscript. All authors provided critical feedback and helped shape the research, analysis and manuscript.

### **Data Availability Statement**

The data supporting this study's findings are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

# **Ethical Statement**

This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Bila Tserkva National Agrarian University (Approval number: 23.10.2018 / №2, conclusion 5).

# **Animal Welfare**

The authors confirm that they have adhered to ARRIVE Guidelines to protect animals used for scientific purposes.

# **References**

- Armstrong AJ, Bruce M, Adams R, et al (2019): Injuries involving the central tarsal bone in non-racing dogs: Shortterm outcomes and prognostic factors. Vet Surg, 48, 524– 536.
- 2. Asif M, Khan MA, Akbar H, et al (2021): Effects of detomidine and midazolam administered alone or

## 78 http://vetjournal.ankara.edu.tr/en/

combined, on induction dose and quality of propofol and ketamine anesthesia in goats. J Anim Plant Sci, **31**, 441–449.

- **3.** Baker TF, Torabinejad M, Schwartz SE, et al (2009): Effect of Intraosseous Anesthesia on Control of Hemostasis in Pigs. J Endod, **35**, 1543–1545.
- Barr CA, Alvarado F, Chang YM, et al (2021): The impact of alfaxalone, propofol and ketamine on canine peripheral blood lymphocyte cytotoxicity in vitro. Res Vet Sci, 136, 182–184.
- 5. Basbaum AI, Bautista DM, Scherrer G, et al (2009): Cellular and Molecular Mechanisms of Pain. Cell, 139, 267–284.
- 6. Bouabdallah R, Meghiref FZ, Azzag N, et al (2020): Conservative management of pelvic fractures in dogs and cats in Algiers: Incidence and long-term clinical outcomes. Vet World, 13, 2416–2421.
- Brownlee RD, Kass PH, Sammak RL (2020): Blood Pressure Reference Intervals for Ketamine-sedated Rhesus Macaques (Macaca mulatta). J Am Assoc Lab Anim Sci, 59, 24–29.
- Bruniges N, Rioja E (2019): Intraoperative anaesthetic complications in dogs undergoing general anaesthesia for thoracolumbar hemilaminectomy: a retrospective analysis. Vet Anaesth Analg, 46, 720–728.
- **9.** Cervero F (2009): Visceral versus Somatic Pain: Similarities and Differences. Dig Dis, **27**, 3–10.
- Davis KD (2003): Neurophysiological and anatomical considerations in functional imaging of pain. Pain, 105, 1– 3.
- **11.** Dubova O, Feshchenko D, Bakhur T, et al (2020): Disseminated intravascular coagulation syndrome as a complication in acute spontaneous canine babesiosis. Mac Vet Rev, **43**, 141–149.
- **12.** Essner A, Hogberg H, Zetterberg L, et al (2020): Investigating the Probability of Response Bias in Owner-Perceived Pain Assessment in Dogs With Osteoarthritis. Top Companion Anim Med, **39**, 100407.
- **13.** Gangnus T, Burckhardt BB (2021): Targeted LC-MS/MS platform for the comprehensive determination of peptides in the kallikrein-kinin system. Anal Bioanal Chem, **413**, 2971–2984.
- 14. Gao XY, Han S, Huang Q, et al (2021): Calcium imaging in population of dorsal root ganglion neurons unravels novel mechanisms of visceral pain sensitization and referred somatic hypersensitivity. Pain, 162, 1068–1081.
- **15.** Garbin M, Portela DA, Bertolizio G, et al (2020): Description of ultrasound-guided quadratus lumborum block technique and evaluation of injectate spread in canine cadavers. Vet Anaesth Anal, **47**, 249–258.
- **16.** Genzen JR, Tormey CA, Acad Clinical Lab Phys S (2011): *Pathology Consultation on Reporting of Critical Values.* Am J Clin Pathol, **135**, 505–513.
- **17.** Girolami JP, Bouby N, Richer-Giudicelli C, et al (2021): *Kinins and Kinin Receptors in Cardiovascular and Renal Diseases.* Pharmaceuticals, **14**, 240.
- Greunz EM, Limon D, Petersen RL, et al (2021): The impact of vatinoxan on medetomidine-ketamine-midazolam immobilization in Patagonian maras (Dolichotis patagonum). Vet Anaesth Anal, 48, 372–379.

- **19.** Grubb T, Lobprise H (2020): Local and regional anaesthesia in dogs and cats: Descriptions of specific local and regional techniques (Part 2). Vet Med Sci, 6, 218–234.
- 20. Holovakha VI, Piddubnyak OV, Bakhur TI, et al (2018): Changes in erythrocytopoesis indices in dogs with babesiosis. Regul Mech Biosyst, 9, 379–383.
- Kibar M, Tuna B, Kisadere I, et al (2019): Comparison of instilled lidocaine and procaine effects on pain relief in dogs undergoing elective ovariohysterectomy. Isr J Vet Med, 74, 148–154.
- 22. Kim S, Rahman MM, Chul P, et al (2020): Diagnosis and surgical treatment of bilateral ureteral calculi, hydronephrosis, pyometra, pyocolpos, vestibulovaginal stenosis, and imperforate hymen in a dog: A rare critical case report. J Adv Vet Anim Res, 7, 384–390.
- Kuczynska K, Boncler M (2020): Emerging Role of Fentanyl in Antiplatelet Therapy. J Cardiovasc Pharmacol, 76, 267–275.
- 24. Lopez DJ, Holm SA, Korten B, et al (2021): Comparison of patient outcomes following enterotomy versus intestinal resection and anastomosis for treatment of intestinal foreign bodies in dogs. J Am Vet Med Assoc, 258, 1378–1385.
- Mankin KMT, Jeffery ND, Kerwin SC (2021): The impact of a surgical checklist on surgical outcomes in an academic institution. Vet Surg, 50, 848–857.
- 26. Mirschberger V, von Deimling C, Heider A, et al (2020): Fentanyl Plasma Concentrations after Application of a Transdermal Patch in Three Different Locations to Refine Postoperative Pain Management in Rabbits. Animals, 10, 1778.
- Muller CP, Pum ME, Amato D, et al (2011): The in vivo neurochemistry of the brain during general anesthesia. J Neurochem, 119, 419–446.
- Peden RSE, Camerlink I, Boyle LA, et al (2020): Belief in Pigs' Capacity to Suffer: An Assessment of Pig Farmers, Veterinarians, Students, and Citizens. Anthrozoos, 33, 21– 36.
- **29.** Pinna S, Lanzi F, Tassani C, et al (2020): Intra-articular replacement of a ruptured cranial cruciate ligament using the Mini-TightRope in the dog: a preliminary study. J Vet Sci, **21**, e53.
- 30. Riphaus A, Geist C, Schrader K, et al (2012): Intermittent manually controlled versus continuous infusion of propofol for deep sedation during interventional endoscopy: A prospective randomized trial. Scand J Gastroenterol, 47, 1078–1085.
- **31.** Romero TRL, Castor M, Parrella C, et al (2020): alpha(2)-Adrenoceptor agonist induces peripheral antinociception via the endocannabinoid system. Pharmacol Rep, **72**, 96–103.
- **32. Rublenko SV, Rublenko MV, Vlasenko VM** (2014): Mechanisms of pain response and their correction in animals: guidelines. Bila Tserkva National Agrarian University, Bila Tserkva.
- **33.** Schneck E, Mallek F, Schiederich J, et al (2020): Flow Cytometry-Based Quantification of Neutrophil Extracellular Traps Shows an Association with Hypercoagulation in Septic Shock and Hypocoagulation in Postsurgical Systemic Inflammation-A Proof-of-Concept Study. J Clin Med, **9**, 174.

- 34. Serra F, Aste G, Citi S, et al (2021): Computed Tomography in Polytraumatized Patients: A Retrospective Study of 63 Cases (2014-2017). Pakistan Vet J, 41, 7–12.
- **35.** Silva E, Schumacher J, Passler T (2020): Castration of Dogs Using Local Anesthesia After Sedating With Xylazine and Subanesthetic Doses of Ketamine. Front Vet Sci, 6, 478.
- **36.** Slovak JE, Turbeville C, Grubb T (2020): Assessment of Veterinary Students' Responses to Questions Regarding Small Animal Pain Recognition and Analgesic Treatment Options. J Vet Med Educ, **47**, 482–487.
- **37.** Sugiyama K, Suzuki S, Maruno K, et al (2019): Pulmonary endarterectomy for chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension with active Crohn's disease. Surg Case Rep, **5**, 59.
- **38.** Taharabaru S, Satomoto M, Tamura T, et al (2018): Smaller effect of propofol than sevoflurane anesthesia on dopamine turnover induced by methamphetamine and nomifensine in the rat striatum: an in vivo microdialysis study. Exp Anim, 67, 147–153.
- **39.** Tomacheuski RM, Taffarel MO, Ferrante M, et al (2020): Preliminary survey of the attitudes of Brazilian scientists towards pain management and assessment in animals used in science. Vet Anaesth Analg, **47**, 647–656.

- 40. Tomsic K, Rakinic K, Sokolov C, et al (2021): A survey study on the recognition and treatment of pain in dogs and cats by Slovenian veterinarians. Vet Anaesth Analg, 48, 334–343.
- **41.** Vullo C, Tambella AM, Falcone A, et al (2021): Constant Rate Infusion of Lidocaine, Tumescent Anesthesia and Their Combination in Dogs Undergoing Unilateral Mastectomy. Animals, **11**, 1280.
- **42.** Vuralli D, Wattiez AS, Russo AF, et al (2019): Behavioral and cognitive animal models in headache research. J Headache Pain, **20**, 11.
- Zhang JM, Wang F, Xin Z, et al (2015): Treatment of different-aged children under bispectral index monitoring with intravenous anesthesia with propofol and remiferitanil. Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci, 19, 64–69.

#### **Publisher's Note**

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.