The impact of peripheral blood cell ratios in dogs with diffuse B-cellsmall lymphocytic lymphoma treated with CHOP protocol

Kadir SEVİM^{1,a,⊠}, Ekrem Çağatay ÇOLAKOĞLU^{1,b}, Ufuk KAYA^{2,c}

¹Ankara University, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Animal Hospital, Department of Internal Medicine, Ankara, Türkiye; ²Hatay Mustafa Kemal University Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Department of Biostatistics, Hatay, Türkiye

^aORCID: 0000-0002-1959-1010; ^bORCID: 0000-0003-2789-035X; ^cORCID: 0000-0002-4805-0993

ARTICLE INFO

Article History Received : 22.02.2022 Accepted : 12.10.2022 DOI: 10.33988/auvfd.1075126

Keywords Cancer Dog Small lymphocytic lymphoma

[™]Corresponding author kadirsevimm@hotmail.com

How to cite this article: Sevim K, Çolakoğlu EÇ, Kaya U (2024): The impact of peripheral blood cell ratios in dogs with diffuse B-cellsmall lymphocytic lymphoma treated with CHOP protocol. Ankara Univ Vet Fak Derg, 71 (1), 51-56. DOI: 10.33988/auvfd.1075126.

ABSTRACT

In this study, pre-chemotherapy hematological values of 14 dogs diagnosed with diffuse B-cell small lymphocytic lymphoma were compared with the hematological data of 26 healthy dogs. Neutrophil/lymphocyte ratio (NLR), lymphocyte/monocyte ratio (LMR), platelet/lymphocyte ratio (PLR), and platelet/neutrophil ratio (PNR) were evaluated between two groups. Anemia and an increased total leukocyte count were observed in dogs with lymphoma compared to healthy ones. The PNR value was found to be significantly lower in dogs with lymphoma. It was concluded that more comprehensive studies are needed to clearly understand the diagnostic and prognostic importance of hematological parameters in B-cell small lymphocytic lymphoma of dogs.

Introduction

B-cell lymphoma has been defined asthe most common lymphoma histotype affecting dogs (25, 28). Diffuse small B-cell lymphocytic lymphoma (DSLL) is also very rare (<1%) among all canine lymphomas (8, 24).

Although B-cell lymphoma is a highly chemoresponsive neoplasm, several variations have been published in recent years with different outcomes (16, 33). Therefore, studies have focused on the prognostic markers such as stage, substage, immunophenotype, anatomical localization, hypercalcemia, histological type, and cell morphology (6, 14, 22, 27). Many of these prognostic factors are costly, difficult to perform, and data are not easy to evaluate. It is very important to determine cheap and easily applicable prognostic information before treatment in veterinary medicine.

In medicine, it is well-known the association of inflammation in lymphomagenesis and tumor progression (3, 12). So the evaluation of immune cell subsets from peripheral blood may reflect the inflammation and hosttumor interaction. In the veterinary literature, some studies showing prognostic importance of neutrophil-lymphocyte (NLR), lymphocyte-monocyte (LMR), platelet-neutrophil (PNR), and platelet-lymphocyte (PLR) ratio in dogs with lymphoma have been described (7, 11, 18). Nevertheless, B-cell DSLL is very rare, and more studies in dogs with B-cell DSLL are still required.

The purpose of the current study was to determine thepre-treatment immune cell subsets in dogs with B-cell DSLL that may be useful to manage the life quality of dogs compared to healthy individuals.

Materials and Methods

Animals: A total of 14 client-owned dogs with histopathologically confirmed B-cell DSLL diagnosed and treated at Ankara University Veterinary Training Hospital were included in this study. Inclusion criteria in dogs were a confirmed histopathological diagnosis of Bcell DSLL, available pre-treatment haematological data and, evaluation of WHO stage III/IV determined by full clinical examination, thoracic radiographs, abdominal ultrasonography and peripheral blood smears. Exclusion criteria were administration of previous chemotherapy or corticosteroids and central nervous system, cutaneousor leukemic involvement of B-cell lymphoma, and dogs having WHO stage I/II or V. Twenty-six clinically healthy dogs (control group) were used in the present study. No dogs in control group had also other inflammatory, infectious, immune-mediated, or neoplastic diseases. None of the dogs had receive medical or surgical treatment at least 3 months before CBC analysis.

Study Design: Clinical procedures including clinical examination, blood analyses, urinalysis, and imaging (abdominal and thoracic radiography and ultrasonography) were performed in all dogs. Medical data of signalment, history, tumor histopathological type, and hematological data were also recorded. CBC indices such as neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR), lymphocyte-to-monocyte ratio (LMR), platelet-tolymphocyte ratio (PLR), and platelet-to-neutrophil ratio (PNR) were calculated using absolute monocyte, neutrophil, lymphocyte and platelet values. Complete blood counts (CBC) were performed on an Exigo Eos Veterinary Hematology Analyzer with whole blood in EDTA. World Health Organization (WHO) classification of lymphoma was used in staging the dogs clinically (20). Histopathological examination was routinely performed from a lymph node extirpated totally in each dog (34). Lymphoma chemotherapy consisted of 19-week CHOP protocol including vincristine (0.5 mg/m² IV), cyclophosphamide (200 mg/m² IV), doxorubicin (30 mg/m² IV), and prednisolone (2 mg/kg BID with tapering for 4 weeks). Written consent was also obtained from the owners.

Statistical Analysis: All statistical analyses were performed using Stata 12/MP4 and MedCalc Version 9.2.0.1. The variables were examined with the Shapiro-Wilk test and Levene test as parametric test assumptions. The differences in hematological parameters among the two groups were analyzed using the Student t-test when parametric test assumptions were met and the Mann-Whitney U test otherwise. ROC analysis was used to determine a predicted threshold for the identification of disease (Table 3). ROC curves for the detection of B-cell lymphoma were obtained for each hematological parameter. Sensitivity, specificity and area under the curve (AUC) were calculated for each variable. All data were presented as mean ± standard deviation (SD) and median. Differences with P<0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results

Data were collected from 40 clint-owned dogs. The group of 14 dogs with B-cell DSLL consisted of mixed breed (n:5, 35.7 %), Husky (n:2, 14.4 %), Labrador Retriever (n:1, 7.1 %), Golden Retriever (n:4, 28.6 %), Rotweiler (n:1, 7.1 %) and Kangal (n:1, 7.1 %). The reference population also consisted of 26 healthy dogs including Labrador Retriever (n:2, 7.7 %), Golden Retriever (n:5, 19.2 %), mixed (n:3, 11.5 %), terrier types (n:8, 30.8 %), Akbas Shepperd Dog (n:2, 7.7 %), Pekingese (n:1, 3.8 %), Pointer (n:1, 3.8 %), Pug (n:1, 3.8 %), Cavalier King Charles (n:1, 3.8 %), English Setter (n:1, 3.8 %) and Cocker Spaniel (n:1, 3.8 %). The mean age, weight and gender distributions of dogs in groups were shown in Table 1. Hematology profiles in each groups were also presented in Table 2. The most common clinical signs were generalized lympadenopathy (92.85%), anorexia (57.14%), fever (14.28%) and weigth loss (57.14%). Most dogs presented with a combination of clinical sings but no dominant combination was apparent.

Table 1. Characteristics of Dog Population with Diffuse B-cell Small Lymphocytic Lymphoma.

Characteristics	Dogs with B-cell DSLL (n:14)	Healthy Dogs (n:26)
Age (year)	7.7 ± 2.88	7.9 ± 3.63
Weight (kg)	12.3 ± 2.46	14.9 ± 4.61
Gender (n,%)	14 (100)	26 (100)
Male	8 (57.1)	18 (69.2)
Female	6 (42.9)	8 (30.8)

DSLL: B-cell Diffuse Small Lymphocytic Lymphoma; Who Stage in B-cell DSLL: II, n:1 (7.1 %); III, n:7 (50 %); IV, n:6 (42.9 %); Who Substage: a, n:11 (78.6 %); b, n:3 (21.4 %).

Variables	Dogs with B-co	Dogs with B-cell DSLL		Healthy Dogs	
	mean ± SD	median	an mean ± SD		median
WBC (10 ⁹ /L)	14.36 ± 9.31	11.89	8.13 ± 1.96	7.40	0.002
RBC (10 ¹² /L)	5.65 ± 0.97	5.89	6.65 ± 0.65	6.61	0.003
HGB (g/dL)	13.35 ± 2.72	13.50	16.23 ± 1.96	16.25	0.002
PCV (%)	37.86 ± 6.56	38	45.67 ± 4.48	44.65	< 0.001
MCV (fl)	68.26 ± 4.04	68.1	69.7 ± 2.76	68.5	0.435
MCH (pg)	23.17 ± 3.11	23.3	25 ± 1.39	24.95	0.06
MCHC (g/dL)	33.72 ± 4.56	35	36 ± 0.89	35.95	0.32
RDW (%)	17.55 ± 6.29	16	13.17 ± 0.65	13.1	< 0.001
PLT (10 ⁹ /L)	247.15 ± 119.9	210	292.38 ± 90.06	282	0.06
PCT (%)	0.24 ± 0.12	0.2	$0.24\pm0{,}07$	0.23	0.67
NLR	13.14 ± 21.33	4.75	4.49 ± 2.75	3.88	0.69
LMR	6.91 ± 10.84	2.74	3.08 ± 0.92	3.10	0.87
PLR	251.90 ± 337.01	102	214.53 ± 111.68	189.08	0.15
PNR	36.86 ± 34.07	30.06	54.41 ± 21.15	49.4	0.011

Table 2. Hematology profiles in groups.

WBC: White Blood Cell; RBC: Red Blood Cell; HGB: Hemoglobin; PCV: Packed Cell Volume; MCV: Mean Corpuscular Volume; MCH: Mean Corpuscular Hemoglobin; MCHC: Mean Corpuscular Hemoglobin Concentration; RDW: Red Blood Cell Distribution Width; PLT: Platelet; PCT: Plateletcrit; NLR: Neutrophil-to-Lymphocyte Ratio; LMR: Lymphocyte-to-Monocyte Ratio; PLR: Platelet-to-Lymphocyte Ratio; PNR: Platelet-to-Neutrophil Ratio; DSLL: Diffuse Small Lymphocytic Lymphoma.

Table 3. The ROC curves of the hematological parameters for the development of B-cell lymphoma.

Variables	Threshold	Sensitivity	%95 Cl for Se	Specificity	%95 Cl for Sp	AUC	Р
WBC	>10.9	53.85	25.2 - 80.7	96.15	80.3 - 99.4	0.796	< 0.001
RBC	<=5.98	69.23	38.6 - 90.7	80.77	60.6 - 93.4	0.790	< 0.001
HGB	<=14.6	69.23	38.6 - 90.7	84.62	65.1 - 95.5	0.800	< 0.001
PCV	<=39.5	69.23	38.6 - 90.7	100	86.7 - 100.0	0.839	< 0.001
RDW%	>13.8	76.92	46.2 - 94.7	88.46	69.8 - 97.4	0.874	< 0.001
PLT	<=210	53.85	25.2 - 80.7	84.62	65.1 - 95.5	0.685	0.034
MPV	>8.8	76.92	46.2 - 94.7	69.23	48.2 - 85.6	0.768	0.002
NLR	>4.05	61.54	31.6 - 86.0	61.54	40.6 - 79.7	0.541	0.678
LMR	>5.29	38.46	14.0 - 68.4	100	86.7 - 100.0	0.482	0.858
PNR	<=30.09	61.54	31.6 - 86.0	92.31	74.8 - 98.8	0.749	0.002
PLR	<=110.61	61.54	31.6 - 86.0	88.46	69.8 - 97.4	0.645	0.112

Se: Sensitivity; Sp: Specificity; AUC: Area under the curve.

Discussion and Conclusion

B-cell lymphomas delineated as diffuse or nodular pattern sare the most common lymphoma histotype (60-70%) in dogs (24, 28). Several B-cell lymphoma subtypes such as marginal zone, mantle cell, follicular and small lymphocytic lymphoma have been defined previously (35). B-cell DSLL has also been reported to account for <1 % of all canine lymphomas (8, 24).

B-cell lymphocytic lymphoma and chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL) are considered the same disease in humans (31). In veterinary medicine, authors have attributed the rarer diagnosis of small lymphocytic lymphoma to less preference for lymph node biopsies in the diagnostic phase of CLL (13). There is also no consensus on the distinction between leukemia and the leukemic phase of canine lymphoma in veterinary literature (1). Therefore, inclusion criteria differed on Bcell chronic lymphocytic leukemia in previous studies (2, 5). The common opinion in these studies is that it is difficult to differentiate small cell lymphoma from chronic lymphocytic leukemia. The retrospective nature of all studies and the inadequacy of histopathological examination made it difficult to reach a consensus.

A study in dogs with B-cell DSLL suggested that the aggressive progression and mitotic count of B cell-DSLL are more similar to mantle cell lymphoma in humans than small lymphocytic lymphoma (SLL)/chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL) (13). In the same study, it was emphasized that flow cytometry was insufficient in the diagnosis of B-cell lymphoma, and histopathology is required for a definitive diagnosis. In thepresented study, while WHO classification of lymphoma was used in

staging the dogs clinically (20), histopathological classification was performed from a lymph node extirpated totally in each dog (34). Although CLL is also characterized by circulating small lymphocytes, we prefer to use the term of B-cell DSLL based on the histopathological classification and the dogs with lymphadenopathy, liver or spleen involvement.

In consistent with the studies considering that the incidence of lymphoma mostly affects medium and largebreed dogs (38), in our study, all dogs with lymphoma were also largebreed dogs. It is thought that the reason for this situation may be related to genetic susceptibility rather than growth hormone (38). Although no gender predisposition has been reported, lymphoma is less common in female dogs because of the protective effect of endogenous estrogens (36). In the study here, the majority of lymphoma dogs (57.1%) were male dogs compatible with the results previously described (9, 18, 23, 32).

In medicine, it is well-known the association of inflammation in lymphomagenesis and tumor progression (3, 12). Necrotic and infectious processes associated with neoplasia have also caused inflammation related to leukocytosis (18, 37). Therefore, the immune cell subsets from peripheral blood may directly reflect the inflammation and host-tumor interaction (7, 11, 18). In our study, remarkably increased leukocyte levels in dogs with lymphoma compared to healthy individuals were consistent with reports previously described (19, 22).

Few studies revealed the anemia rate in dogs with lymphoma as 57%, 48%, 41%, and 53%, respectively (9, 15, 19, 21). Although the anemia pathogenesis in dogs with lymphoma remains unknown, lots of processes including shortening of erythrocyte lifespan, auto-immune hemolysis, abnormal iron metabolism, decreased production of erythropoietin, interleukins and hepcidinplay an important role in the mechanism of anemia (11, 21). Anemia (defined as PCV<% 39) was also remarkable in lymphoma dogs in the present study.

In human medicine, an increase in NLR has been reported as a negative prognostic indicator of prognosis in lymphoma patients (10, 17). Rejec et al. (2017), found a higher NLR value in dogs with oral tumors (26). In this study, it was reported that this value was higher in tumors with high malignancy. The increased levels of neutrophils in dogs with cancer may be caused by acute or chronic inflammation, tissue necrosis, and stres (4). Causes of the reduction in lymphocytes seen in dogs with cancer include decreased lymphocyte production or suppression of maturation, increased peripheral destruction, generalized lympholysis, or altered circulation patterns (18). In our study, no significant difference of NLR we determined in lymphoma dogs before chemotherapy compared to healthy ones. Mutz et al. (2015), obtained similar results researchers recommended further investigation of the correlation of lower or higher NLR values associated with less or more aggressive biological behavior (18). Lymphocyte/monocyte ratio (LMR) hasprognostic importance in lymphoma patients in humans (29). LMR has previously been reported as prognostic significance for survival in canine multicentric centroblastic diffuse large B-cell lymphoma and canine cutaneous mast cell tumors (7, 30). In our study no significant changes of LMR we defined in two groups of dogs. We think that this is due to the difference in tumor type and the LMR value being affected by non-specific etiologies. Henriques et al. have reported the unrelated situation of PLR on prognosis (11). In the study here, although lower platelet level we defined in lymphoma dogs, no statistically significant differences of PLR were possible in consistent with the reports previously defined. Although the reason for the decrease in platelet count is not fully understood, it may be the result of upregulation of inflammatory markers, bone marrow involvement, autoimmune destruction and systemic inflammatory conditions, similar to humans. Contrary to our study, in a study including animals with oropharyngeal tumors and healthy ones, authors have observed higher PNR levels in dogs with tumors (26). We think this discrepancy is related to different tumor types and tumor stages. Henriques et al reported that dogs with large diffuse B-cell lymphoma with a PNR above a certain threshold tended to have earlier lymphoma progression. (11). The data obtained from the results of the current study have shown that PNR can be used as a marker to distinguish between lymphoma dogs and healthy ones (Figure 1).

in their research on dogs with lymphoma as well and the



Figure 1. Display of ROC curve for threshold of PNR.

As a conclusion, in dogs with diffuse B-cell small lymphocytic lymphoma, total leukocyte count, hematocrit, and PNR values obtained from whole blood evaluation were different from those in healthy dogs. However, we also believe that more comprehensive studies are needed to understand the diagnostic and prognostic values of the mentioned parameters.

Financial Support

This research received no grant from any funding agency/sector.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declared that there is no conflict of interest.

Author Contributions

All authors provided critical feedback and helped shape the research, analysis and manuscript.

Data Availability Statement

The data supporting this study's findings are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Ethical Statement

This study does not present any ethical concerns.

Animal Welfare

The authors confirm that they have adhered to ARRIVE Guidelines to protect animals used for scientific purposes.

References

- 1. Binet JL, Caligaris-Cappio F, Catovsky D, et al (2006): Perspectives on the use of new diagnostic tools in the treatment of chronic lymphocytic leukemia. Blood, 107, 859–861.
- 2. Bromberek JL, Rout ED, Agnew MR, et al (2016): Breed distribution and clinical characteristics of B cell chronic lymphocytic leukemia in dogs. J Vet Intern Med, 30, 215–222.
- **3.** Carbone A, Tripodo C, Carlo-Stella C, et al (2014): *The role of inflammation in lymphoma*. Inflamm Cancer, **816**, 315–333.
- Childress MO (2012): Hematologic abnormalities in the small animal cancer patient. Vet Clin Small Anim Pract, 42, 123–155.
- 5. Comazzi S, Gelain ME, Martini V, et al (2011): Immunophenotype predicts survival time in dogs with chronic lymphocytic leukemia. J Vet Intern Med, 25, 100– 106.
- Conti I, Rollins BJ (2004): CCL2 (monocyte chemoattractant protein-1) and cancer. Semin Cancer Biol, 14, 149–154.
- 7. Davies O, Szladovits B, Polton G, et al (2018): Prognostic significance of clinical presentation, induction and rescue

treatment in 42 cases of canine centroblastic diffuse large *B-cell multicentric lymphoma in the United Kingdom.* Vet Comp Oncol, **16**, 276–287.

- De Arespacochaga AG, Schwendenwein I, Weissenböck H (2007): Retrospective study of 82 cases of canine lymphoma in Austria based on the Working Formulation and immunophenotyping. J Comp Pathol, 136, 186–192.
- Gavazza A, Lubas G, Valori E, et al (2008): Retrospective survey of malignant lymphoma cases in the dog: clinical, therapeutical and prognostic features. Vet Res Commun, 32, 291–293.
- Grilz E, Posch F, Königsbrügge O, et al (2018): Association of platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio and neutrophilto-lymphocyte ratio with the risk of thromboembolism and mortality in patients with cancer. Thromb Haemost, 118, 1875–1884.
- 11. Henriques J, Felisberto R, Constantino-Casas F, et al (2021): Peripheral blood cell ratios as prognostic factors in canine diffuse large B-cell lymphoma treated with CHOP protocol. Vet Comp Oncol, 19, 242–252.
- Hjelmström P (2001): Lymphoid neogenesis: de novo formation of lymphoid tissue in chronic inflammation through expression of homing chemokines. J Leukoc Biol, 69, 331–339.
- Hughes KL, Ehrhart EJ, Rout ED, et al (2021): Diffuse small B-cell lymphoma: a high-grade malignancy. Vet Pathol, 58, 912–922.
- 14. Jagielski D, Lechowski R, Hoffmann-Jagielska M, et al (2002): A Retrospective Study of the Incidence and Prognostic Factors of Multicentric Lymphoma in Dogs (1998–2000). J Vet Med Ser A, 49, 419–424.
- **15.** Kol A, Marks SL, Skorupski KA, et al (2015): Serial haemostatic monitoring of dogs with multicentric lymphoma. Vet Comp Oncol, **13**, 255–266.
- 16. Marconato L, Polton GA, Sabattini S, et al (2017): Conformity and controversies in the diagnosis, staging and follow-up evaluation of canine nodal lymphoma: a systematic review of the last 15 years of published literature. Vet Comp Oncol, 15, 1029–1040.
- 17. Mu S, Ai L, Fan F, et al (2018): Prognostic role of neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio in diffuse large B cell lymphoma patients: an updated dose-response meta-analysis. Cancer Cell Int, 18, 1–9.
- Mutz M, Boudreaux B, Kearney M, et al (2015): Prognostic value of baseline absolute lymphocyte concentration and neutrophil/lymphocyte ratio in dogs with newly diagnosed multi-centric lymphoma. Vet Comp Oncol, 13, 337–347.
- **19.** Neuwald EB, Teixeira LV, Conrado FO, et al (2014): Epidemiological, clinical and immunohistochemical aspects of canine lymphoma in the region of Porto Alegre, Brazil. Pesqui Veterinária Bras, **34**, 349–354.
- **20. Owen LN** (1980): TNM classification of tumors in domestic animals. World Health Organization.
- Parachini-Winter C, Carioto LM, Gara-Boivin C (2019): Retrospective evaluation of anemia and erythrocyte morphological anomalies in dogs with lymphoma or inflammatory bowel disease. J Am Vet Med Assoc, 254, 487–495.
- 22. Perry JA, Thamm DH, Eickhoff J, et al (2011): Increased monocyte chemotactic protein-1 concentration and

56 http://vetjournal.ankara.edu.tr/en/

monocyte count independently associate with a poor prognosis in dogs with lymphoma. Vet Comp Oncol, 9, 55–64.

- 23. Pittaway C, Schofield I, Dobson J, et al (2019): Incidence and risk factors for the diagnosis of lymphoma in dogs in UK primary-care practice. J Small Anim Pract, 60, 581– 588.
- 24. Ponce F, Marchal T, Magnol JP, et al (2010): A morphological study of 608 cases of canine malignant lymphoma in France with a focus on comparative similarities between canine and human lymphoma morphology. Vet Pathol, 47, 414–433.
- 25. Purzycka K, Peters LM, Desmas I, et al (2020): Clinicopathological characteristics and prognostic factors for canine multicentric non-indolent T-cell lymphoma: 107 cases. Vet Comp Oncol, 18, 656–663.
- 26. Rejec A, Butinar J, Gawor J, et al (2017): Evaluation of complete blood count indices (NLR, PLR, MPV/PLT, and PLCRi) in healthy dogs, dogs with periodontitis, and dogs with oropharyngeal tumors as potential biomarkers of systemic inflammatory response. J Vet Dent, 34, 231–240.
- **27.** Ruslander DA, Gebhard DH, Tompkins MB, et al (1997): *Immunophenotypic characterization of canine lymphoproliferative disorders*. In Vivo, **11**, 169–172.
- **28.** Seelig DM, Avery AC, Ehrhart EJ, et al (2016): The comparative diagnostic features of canine and human lymphoma. Vet Sci, 3, 11.
- **29.** Shimono J, Takahashi S, Takemura R, et al (2019): Useful prognostic tools based on complete blood cell counts in diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. Int J Lab Hematol, **41**, 754–761.
- **30.** Skor O, Fuchs-Baumgartinger A, Tichy A, et al (2017): Pretreatment leukocyte ratios and concentrations as predictors of outcome in dogs with cutaneous mast cell tumours. Vet Comp Oncol, **15**, 1333–1345.

- **31.** Swerdlow SH, Campo E, Harris NL, et al (2008): WHO classification of tumours of haematopoietic and lymphoid tissues. WHO Press, Switzerland.
- 32. Tasca S, Carli E, Caldin M, et al (2009): Hematologic abnormalities and flow cytometric immunophenotyping results in dogs with hematopoietic neoplasia: 210 cases (2002–2006). Vet Clin Pathol, 38, 2–12.
- **33. Thamm DH** (2019): *Novel treatments for lymphoma*. Vet Clin Small Anim Pract, **49**, 903–915.
- 34. Vail DM, Michels GM, Khanna C, et al (2010): Response evaluation criteria for peripheral nodal lymphoma in dogs (v1. 0)–a Veterinary Cooperative Oncology Group (VCOG) consensus document. Vet Comp Oncol, 8, 28–37.
- **35. Valli VE, Myint MS, Barthel A, et al** (2011): Classification of canine malignant lymphomas according to the World Health Organization criteria. Vet Pathol, **48**, 198–211.
- 36. Villamil JA, Henry CJ, Hahn AW, et al (2009): Hormonal and sex impact on the epidemiology of canine lymphoma. J Cancer Epidemiol, 2009, 591753.
- **37.** Watabe A, Fukumoto S, Komatsu T, et al (2011): Alterations of lymphocyte subpopulations in healthy dogs with aging and in dogs with cancer. Vet Immunol Immunopathol, **142**, 189–200.
- Zandvliet M (2016): Canine lymphoma: a review. Vet Q, 36, 76–104.

Publisher's Note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.