Microbial contamination in food, food-handlers’ hands and surfaces and evaluation of contamination sources by the similarity between isolates
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Abstract: Food can be contaminated with surfaces and food employees during chopping, shredding and serving. Pathogenic microorganisms are transmitted by direct contact with food or indirectly with airborne particles. The aim of this study was to determine the prevalence and the relationship between pathogenic microorganisms isolated from food, kitchen equipment and foodhandler’s hands. A total of 212 microbiological samples were collected from surfaces, foods and food handlers’ hands at different six canteens inside of the Afyon Kocatepe University campus during the period 2017-2018. Following biochemical tests, identification of Staphylococcus species were performed from a specific region of 23S rRNA gene. The genetic relationships between totally 25 Staphylococcus spp. and Proteus mirabilis isolates were determined. S.epidermidis was detected in two samples from knife handle (5%), nine samples from hands (20%) and in one of food sample (1%), too. S.aureus was found to be existed in one sample from hands (2.2%), two samples of soupouk (2%) and in one sample pancakes (3%) obtained from the university canteens. Besides from one of food sample (1%) S.sciuri, from one of hand sample (2.2%) S.haemolyticus and one of the food samples (1%) S.saprophyticus bacteria were identified. As a result, foods, food preparation surfaces and foodhandler’s hands were contaminated with microorganisms in canteens and they were similar/same to each other. Also, considering the number of isolates, the highest of contamination is the hands of food-handler.
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Gıdalarda, gıda çalışanlarının elinde ve yüzeylerde mikrobiyal kontaminasyon ve kontaminasyon kaynaklarının izolatları arasındaki benzerlikler ile değerlendirilmesi


Anahtar sözcükler: DNA dizisi analizi, genotiplendirme, gıda, kantin, kontaminasyon.

Introduction

Food safety is one of the most important perspectives in foodservice operations. However, this issue is generally accepted as the smallest amount of observation and attention (38). Many interrelated determinants are effecting microbial contamination of foods related to the preparation method, the hygienic sanitary situation of catering/canteen facilities, or food handling, storage, and distribution (14, 42). The unsuitable handling of foods by the food service sector has been a major concern in 97% of food poisoning cases (17, 36). Pathogenic microorganisms are spreading through the foodhandlers’ hands and lead to cross-contamination (1, 33). Staphylococcus aureus is one of the leading causes of food poisoning.
poisoning by means of enterotoxins (20). This commensal and opportunistic pathogen that is the main resident in humans, is mostly isolated from the nostrils, skin, oropharynx and feces (6, 23). Therefore, human-induced contamination the third most important cause of foodborne diseases in the world (28). Food poisoning has been stated to be a result of infection with enterotoxigenic strains of S. aureus and occurred to be 14-20% of outbreaks involving contaminated food (9). Today, Coagulase Negative Staphylococcus (CoNS), as general opportunist, reflects one of the major nosocomial pathogens, having a significant effect on human life and health. These microorganisms are found in different parts of the skin and mucous membranes of the host. S. epidermidis colonizes the body surface, predominantly existing in moist areas, such as the axillae, inguinal and perineal areas, anterior nares, conjunctiva, and toe webs. S. haemolyticus is primarily isolated from axillae and pubic areas, which are high in apocrine glands. S. saprophyticus mostly exists in the rectum and genitourinary tract (4, 31). S. sciuri is known as an invasive pathogen for wound infections, blood, urine and abscesses in humans. Also, the agent is commonly found in a large variety of hosts and environments and frequent contact with pets and livestock has been stated to cause skin colonization and wounds (16, 37). Also, dust is an important tool in the transport of these bacteria (25, 27).

The Enterobacteriaceae group of bacteria is the most challenging bacterial contaminant to raw and processed meat products worldwide (3). Proteus mirabilis, a member of this group is known to be other human opportunistic pathogens, isolated from urine, wounds, and other clinical sources. Intestine is the reservoir of such proteolytic microorganisms. A lot of wild and domestic animals are hosts of Proteus spp., which are mostly known to play a role of parasites or commensals. Proteus spp., generally present in soil or water habitats is usually considered as indicators of faecal pollution, creating a threat of poisoning when the contaminated water or food is consumed (12). According to our best knowledge, an extensive evaluation of a sampling of food-contact surfaces (27 cutting board, 40 knives handle) was carried out by using a sterile frame of 15 cm² that was used to outline a known area, inside which the swabbing was done. The swab was then placed in a tube containing 10 mL of maximum recovery dilution with 0.05% sodium thiosulfate (MRD, Oxoid CM 0275, Basingstoke, UK) and Chromocult Coliform Agar (Merck 1.10426, Germany) were used and incubated at 37°C for 24 h. In a sampling of food-contact surfaces (27 cutting board, 40 knives handle) was carried out by using a sterile frame of 15 cm² that was used to outline a known area, inside which the swabbing was done. The swab was then placed in a tube containing 10 mL of maximum recovery dilution with 0.05% sodium thiosulfate (MRD, Oxoid CM 0275, Basingstoke, UK) and stored in an ice container and then analyzed within 2 h. The Enterobacteriaceae and Staphylococcus spp., counts were obtained after incubation on Chromocult Coliform Agar and Baird-Parker Agar at 37°C for 24 h. Enterobacteriaceae and Staphylococcus spp. were determined by biochemical and coagulase tests (5, 7, 10). This study aims to detect microorganisms important for food safety and public health from foods, surfaces and hands of employees and to determine the relationship between cross-contamination and sources of contamination between these isolates.

### Material and Methods

This study was performed with the permission of the Afyon Kocatepe University Animal Ethics Committee (2016-49533702-68).

**Microbiological sampling of surfaces, food equipment, and food handler’s hands:** A total of 212 microbiological samples of surfaces, food tools and food handler’s hands were taken different six canteens inside the university campus during the period 2017-2018.

One hundred gram of food samples were taken to sterile bags and brought to the laboratory under the cold chain and analyzed within 1-2 hours. Ten grams of each food samples were taken aseptically, transferred to sterile plastic bags and homogenized with 90 mL of sterile peptone water (1 g / L) for 60 seconds. After the serial dilutions of the samples, they were inoculated in growth media using standard drop-plate method (Table 1).

There were six canteens in the university with 45 food handlers (inclusive of permanent and contract workers). Hand samples were taken from thumb and forefinger through the “press your finger into the petri dishes” method. For this purpose, RODAC petri dishes containing Baird-Parker Agar (Oxoid, CM 0275, Basingstoke, UK) and Chromocult Coliform Agar (Merck 1.10426, Germany) were used and incubated at 37°C for 24 h. In a sampling of food-contact surfaces (27 cutting board, 40 knives handle) was carried out by using a sterile frame of 15 cm² that was used to outline a known area, inside which the swabbing was done. The swab was then placed in a tube containing 10 mL of maximum recovery dilution with 0.05% sodium thiosulfate (MRD, Oxoid CM 733, Basingstoke, UK) and stored in an ice container and then analyzed within 2 h. The Enterobacteriaceae and Staphylococcus spp., counts were obtained after incubation on Chromocult Coliform Agar and Baird-Parker Agar at 37°C for 24 h. Enterobacteriaceae and Staphylococcus spp. were determined by biochemical and coagulase tests (5, 7, 10).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Microorganisms</th>
<th>Incubation</th>
<th>Culture technique</th>
<th>Culture media and confirmation tests</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Culture media</strong></td>
<td><strong>Time (h)</strong></td>
<td><strong>Temperature (°C)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Staphylococcus</em> spp.</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>Drop plate Baird-Parker Agar plus egg yolk tellurite emulsion (5% v/v) (Oxoid CM 0275). Black colonies, Gram positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Enterobacteriaceae</em></td>
<td>24</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>Drop plate Chromocult Coliform Agar (Merck, 1.10426). Colorless typical colonies</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Thermosensitive Alkaline Phosphatase (Thermo Fisher Scientific, EF0652, USA).

Sequencing PCR was performed according to BigDyeTherm terminator 3.1 kit protocol. The products obtained at the end of the sequenced PCR were purified by ethanol / EDTA / sodium acetate precipitation method. Hi-Di formamide was added to 15 µl to each well and loaded onto the DNA Sequence Analyzer (ABI 3500).

**Statistical analyses:** All sequences were edited (GeneCode, Sequencher 5.4.6), aligned with the BioEdit Sequence Alignment Editor Analysis Program (18) and amplification product was read 360 bp. Genotypic results were compared and similarity searches were performed using MEGA 4. (40).

**Results**

Sequence analysis of the 23S rRNA, a highly conserved region present in all bacteria, has been implemented in laboratories to identify CoNS species. All analysis of *Staphylococcus* spp. and *Enterobacteriaceae* strains were performed using one primer set and generated PCR products were 360 bp in size. Most of strains revealed visually same fingerprint profiles (Table 2). The relationship between isolates are shown on the dendrogram (Figure 2). The present study determined the genetic relationships between 19 *Staphylococcus* spp. and 6 *P. mirabilis* isolates obtained from food, food handlers’ hand and food equipment by genotyping characterization. For this purpose, *Staphylococcus* spp. and *P. mirabilis* genes were evaluated by sequence analysis.

Most isolates were harvested from hands (52%) and food samples (36%) while few isolates (12%) were obtained from kitchen equipment. Sequence analysis revealed a correlation between some strains and the source of the isolates. In our study, the prevalence of food pathogens were determined in foods, hands and kitchen equipment. According to this; *P. mirabilis* was isolated from three samples of food (3%), one sample of hands (2.2%) and one sample of knife handle (2.5%), also *S. aureus* was isolated one sample of hands (2.2%), two of soujouk samples and one of the pancakes (3%) in the university canteens. Besides, *S. epidermidis* has been detected from two of knife handle samples (5%), nine of hand samples (20%) and one of the food sample (1%) (chicken skewers). Also, from one of the food sample (1%) *S. sciuri*, from one sample of hand (2.2%) *S. haemolyticus* and from one of food samples (1%) *S. saprophyticus* were isolated. According to these results this; *S. aureus* isolates existed from food handlers’ hand and soujouk, also *S. epidermidis* isolates obtained from knife handle and food handlers’ hands were revealed 100% similar in blast comparison in 1st canteen. Correlatively, *S. epidermidis* isolates obtained from food handlers’ hands and chicken skewers have been identified as 100% similar.
### Table 2. Identification of 25 food, food handler’s and food contact surface isolates by using DNA sequencing.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample (Source)</th>
<th>Similarity 100%</th>
<th>GenBank No (26)</th>
<th>Definitive Identification</th>
<th>Canteen Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A (KnifeHandle)</td>
<td>S. epidermidis strain CDC121</td>
<td>CP034115.1</td>
<td>S. epidermidis</td>
<td>Canteen 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 (Hand)</td>
<td>S. epidermidis strain CDC121</td>
<td>CP034115.1</td>
<td>S. epidermidis</td>
<td>Canteen 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41 (KnifeHandle)</td>
<td>S. epidermidis strain CDC121</td>
<td>CP034115.1</td>
<td>S. epidermidis</td>
<td>Canteen 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43 (Hand)</td>
<td>S. aureus strain PCFH-226</td>
<td>CP035005.1</td>
<td>S. aureus</td>
<td>Canteen 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52 (Soujouk)</td>
<td>S. aureus strain PCFH-226/ FORC-061</td>
<td>CP035005.1</td>
<td>S. aureus</td>
<td>Canteen 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 (Hand)</td>
<td>S. epidermidis strain NCTC4133</td>
<td>LR134242.1</td>
<td>S. epidermidis</td>
<td>Canteen 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 (Hand)</td>
<td>S. epidermidis strain CDC121</td>
<td>CP034115.1</td>
<td>S. epidermidis</td>
<td>Canteen 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47 (Hand)</td>
<td>P. mirabilis strain NCTC4199</td>
<td>LR134205.1</td>
<td>P. mirabilis</td>
<td>Canteen 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 (Hand)</td>
<td>S. epidermidis strain CDC121</td>
<td>CP034115.1</td>
<td>S. epidermidis</td>
<td>Canteen 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 (Hand)</td>
<td>S. epidermidis strain CDC121</td>
<td>CP034115.1</td>
<td>S. epidermidis</td>
<td>Canteen 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 (Hand)</td>
<td>S. epidermidis strain CDC121</td>
<td>CP034115.1</td>
<td>S. epidermidis</td>
<td>Canteen 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 (Hand)</td>
<td>S. epidermidis strain CDC121</td>
<td>CP034115.1</td>
<td>S. epidermidis</td>
<td>Canteen 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 (Hand)</td>
<td>S. epidermidis strain CDC121</td>
<td>CP034115.1</td>
<td>S. epidermidis</td>
<td>Canteen 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 (Hand)</td>
<td>S. haemolyticus strain SGAir0252</td>
<td>CP025031.1</td>
<td>S. haemolyticus</td>
<td>Canteen 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 (Hand)</td>
<td>S. epidermidis strain CDC121</td>
<td>CP034115.1</td>
<td>S. epidermidis</td>
<td>Canteen 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42 (Hand)</td>
<td>S. epidermidis strain CDC121</td>
<td>CP034115.1</td>
<td>S. epidermidis</td>
<td>Canteen 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54 (Vegetable mix)</td>
<td>S. sciuri strain NCTC12103</td>
<td>LS483305.1</td>
<td>S. sciuri</td>
<td>Canteen 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61 (Chickenskewers)</td>
<td>S. epidermidis strain CDC121</td>
<td>CP034115.1</td>
<td>S. epidermidis</td>
<td>Canteen 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63 (Pancakes)</td>
<td>S. aureus strain PCFH-226</td>
<td>CP035005.1</td>
<td>S. aureus</td>
<td>Canteen 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67 (Urfa Kebab)</td>
<td>S. saprophyticus strain NCTC7666</td>
<td>LR134089.1</td>
<td>S. saprophyticus</td>
<td>Canteen 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58 (Adana Kebab)</td>
<td>P. mirabilis strain NCTC4199</td>
<td>LR134205.1</td>
<td>P. mirabilis</td>
<td>Canteen 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 (Adana Kebab)</td>
<td>P. mirabilis strain NCTC4199</td>
<td>LR134205.1</td>
<td>P. mirabilis</td>
<td>Canteen 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46 (Hand)</td>
<td>P. mirabilis strain NCTC4199</td>
<td>LR134205.1</td>
<td>P. mirabilis</td>
<td>Canteen 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38 (KnifeHandle)</td>
<td>P. mirabilis strain NCTC4199</td>
<td>LR134205.1</td>
<td>P. mirabilis</td>
<td>Canteen 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66 (Meatball)</td>
<td>P. mirabilis strain NCTC4199</td>
<td>LR134205.1</td>
<td>P. mirabilis</td>
<td>Canteen 6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 2.** The relationship between isolates with dendrogram.
isolates in the number 6th canteen, also coagulase negative staphylococci such as *S. haemolyticus*, *S. sciuri*, *S. saprophyticus* found in human skin and mucosa were identified in foods. In the same canteen, 100% similar *P. mirabilis* isolates were determined from food, food handlers’ hands and knife handles. In 2nd, 3rd, 4th and 5th canteens, *P. mirabilis* and *S. epidermidis* isolates were found to be the same as those obtained from other canteens. As shown in the dendrogram, bootstrap values are generally high (78, 96, 98 etc.) and reliable, some values are low (26, 40, etc.). This is because; sample 6, for example, although *S. epidermidis* isolates, the other *S. epidermidis* is similar to 40% due to differences in 13 different nucleotides. Samples 38, 66 and 46, 47, 58, 60, identified as *P. mirabilis* are 100% similar, although they are separated from each other in terms of two nucleotides. However, 38 and 66 *P. mirabilis* isolates are 20-47% similar to other *Staphylococcus* spp. isolates.

The Neighbor-Joining method was opted to deduct the evolutionary relationship of isolates (32). The optimal tree with the sum of branch length = 0.46534862 is shown in Figure 2. The branches designate the percentage of replicates of acquainted taxa clusters obtained during bootstrap test (1000 replicates) (15). To develop the phylogenetic tree same units of branch lengths were used as those of evolutionary distances when drawing to the scale. The evolutionary distances were calculated by following the Maximum Composite Likelihood method and the number of base substitutions per site was used as units (41). All positions from data set were cleared by using complete deletion option for prevalent gaps and absent values. A total of 297 positions in the final dataset were obtained. Phylogenetic assessments were performed in MEGA 4 (40).

**Discussion and Conclusion**

In this study, food samples consisted of ready-to-eat and freshly prepared foods. The food contact with equipment harboring pathogens contributed as a most significant factor during a processing of food. Another reason which was among the leading cause of food contamination were the peeling trimming, slicing, milling, shredding, mechanical abrasion and diverse disintegration processes which could bring pollutants from related equipment (24, 34). Especially, the contaminated cutting boards used during cutting of raw meat, and poultry etc. when coming in contact with other foods, are among the major sources of contamination (44). The infected food handlers and their non-hygienic applications during food processing and preparation contribute another to contamination (19). Human (skins and nostrils, mucosae’s and cuts, open wounds, or an infected wound) can function as major reservoirs of pathogens, such as *S. aureus* and *Proteus* spp., and act as vectors and contaminated food under hygienic conditions, especially unwashed hands (8, 13, 39). The results of following study clearly outline the reasons for food contamination with *E. coli*, *Klebsiella* and *Citrobacter* which are likely in a food service setting and food handlers’ hands. It is possible that different populations of employees in different localities and during different times of the year could carry variable hand carriage rates for vulnerable pathogenic species and more frequently infects the surfaces or foods (11). In another study using various products containing 238 retail samples, 137 samples of intact and raw vegetables, and 159 samples of fresh products were analyzed for different microorganisms and researchers were able to isolate *S. aureus* from two samples of raw vegetables and five kinds of cooked foods (22). *S. aureus* (16%) and *Proteus* spp. (12%) was detected from 55 analyzed food samples (39), *P. mirabilis* (6.3%), *S. aureus* (3.2%) from 252 samples (24), from 12 samples *Proteus* spp. (22.7%) were significant and accompanied by *S. aureus* (13.6%) (29), in 48 ready to eat foods and chicken derivative products *S. aureus* was the predominant organisms with 30% and *Proteus* spp. (12%) (30). Wang et al. (43), showed that the handlers and helpers of cook in the kitchen and waiters were among the sources who carried infectious agents, and he described that pork balls were contaminated with *Proteus* spp. Also, a confirmation was recorded that the waiter and cook handler had previously contacted the pork balls directly without washing hands 4 h before the dinner. Although studies are generally related to food, no similar study has been found to determine the relationship between the isolates obtained from food, hands and food contact surfaces. According to our results; the same or similar isolates determined from food, food surfaces and food handlers’ hand indicate that there is cross contamination in the same canteen (26). In this study, workers in contact with food are seasonal, part-time, rotated and some of them are citizens of different countries. Studies suggest that with the help of microbial indicators, it is easy to pinpoint the inadequacy in the food quality (35, 42). The practices such as the habit of touching contaminated surface while wearing gloves, the phenomenon of sneezing or coughing into a gloved hand, exclusive habit of not wearing gloves are major sources of food contamination other than actual contamination during food processing and preparation. Generally, the food handlers who lack the habit of wearing gloves usually escalate Staph bacteria to food (13). Besides, roughly 71.4% of food handlers had not known *S. aureus* is the causative agent of foodborne infections (2). The information obtained from this study is a strong evidence of the presence of cross-contamination of personnel and inadequate hygiene practices at mass consumption sites as well as it may be used to formulate the necessary measures to protect against foodborne infections and intoxications.
In order to maintain and assure the availability of good quality meals and foods for students, strict and comprehensive regulations notified by the authorities must be followed by catering services. Despite the fact that food safety legislation do exist, yet the administration of the school, cafeteria and canteen during meal preparation fails to justify the food safety needs and sometimes even does not take into account the specific hygienic requirements needed for each stage of food preparation. Consequently, during the whole production chain, food is constantly exposed to microbial contamination. The genotypic results of the present study may help to better understand the distribution of microorganisms between food, food handler and food contact surfaces and provide information on foodborne infection pathways.

As a consequence, food handlers should have basic understanding and a good attitude about personal hygiene, hand washing and proper cleaning and strict food hygiene practices. Health hazards associated with catering facilities can be nullified by avoiding poor handling. Similarly, self-consciousness about personal hygiene and safety during food preparation and storage could help to combat foodborne diseases. Periodic sanitary-hygienic evaluation, proper monitoring of catering facilities and strict quality control of food should be enforced in order to facilitate disease free processed food and to significantly minimize the public health hazards acquainted with food-borne infectious agents.
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